

# This Month's Topic: Corequisite Support and Throughput Outcomes

## What is Throughput?

Throughput is the percentage of a student cohort who completed either transfer-level Math or English within either 1-term or 1-year after having begun that sequence at the same point in time (Fall 2022).

- I-term throughput is if a student successfully completed a transfer-level Math or English course in Fall 2022.
- I-year throughput is if a student successfully completed a transfer-level Math or English course in Fall 2022, Spring 2023, or Summer 2023.

#### What is a Corequisite?

A corequisite is a course that is taken at the same time as a transfer-level course where students receive additional instruction and support to help them master the material in that transfer-level course.

- When first entering college, students fill out the placement form which identifies the need for a corequisite support course.
- There are 3 options that can come from this:
  - I) It is required to take a transfer-level course and a corequisite.
  - $\circ$  2) It is recommended to take a transfer-level course and a corequisite.
  - $\circ$  3) It is optional to take a transfer-level course and a corequisite.

### **Methodology**

In this research, a statistical model called the Binomial Effect Size Display (BESD) was used to provide a more understandable way of showing the proportion of achievement versus lack thereof under different conditions. This is a theoretical statistical model, meaning that if 50% of students were in one group (i.e., Math with a corequisite) and the other 50% were in a second group (i.e., Math without a corequisite), the results show what we would expect to see based on the effect size (*r*). The farther away the percent is from 50, the more of an effect the measure had on the outcome.

Table I presents the percentages of students achieving **I-term** throughput in Math, categorized by different measures including whether they took a Math course with or without a corequisite and by GPA. Students with a corequisite had lower I-term throughput (26.1%) compared to those without (73.9%). Students with a lower GPA had lower throughput (21.1%) compared to those with a higher GPA (78.9%).

Table I. Binomial Effect Size Display (BESD)				
Measure	Variable		Total (%)	
Corequisite status and I-Term Throughput in Math (r = -0.49)				
	Did not achieve I-term throughput	Achieved I-term throughput		
Math course with a corequisite	73.9%	26.1%	100	
Math course without a corequisite	26.1%	73.9%	100	
Total (%)	100	100	200	
GPA and I-Term Throughput in Math (r = 0.58)				
	Did not achieve	Achieved I-term		
	I-term throughput	throughput		
2.50 GPA or lower	78.9%	21.1%	100	
2.51 GPA or higher	21.1%	78.9%	100	
Total (%)	100	100	200	

Table 2 presents the percentages of students achieving **I-year** throughput in Math, categorized by the same measures above including whether they took a Math course with or without a corequisite and by GPA. Students with a corequisite had lower I-year throughput (28.8%) compared to those without (71.2%). Students with a lower GPA had lower throughput (19.4%) compared to those with a higher GPA (80.6%).

Table	2	Rinomial	Effect	Size	Display	(RESD	)
I able	∠.	Diriorniur	LIJEUL	JIZE	Display	(DL3D	1

Measure	Varia	Total (%)	
Corequisite status and I-Year Throughput in Math			
(r = -0.42)			
	Did not achieve	Achieved 1-term	
	l-term throughput	throughput	
Math course with a corequisite	71.2%	28.8%	100
Math course without a corequisite	28.8%	71.2%	100
Total (%)	100	100	200
GPA and I-Year Throughput in Math			
(r = 0.61)			
	Did not achieve	Achieved I-term	
	I-term throughput	throughput	
2.50 GPA or lower	80.6%	19.4%	100
2.51 GPA or higher	19.4%	80.6%	100
Total (%)	100	100	200

Table 3 presents the percentages of students achieving **1-term** throughput in English, categorized by the same measures above including whether they took an English course with or without a corequisite and by GPA. Students with a corequisite actually had a slightly higher 1-term throughput (53.9%) compared to those without (46.1%), although not statistically significant. Students with a lower GPA had lower throughput (16.3%) compared to those with a higher GPA (83.7%).

Table 3. Binomial Effect Size Display (BESD)				
Measure	Variable		Total (%)	
Corequisite status and I-Term Throughput in English (r = -0.08)				
	Did not achieve I-term throughput	Achieved I-term throughput		
English course with a corequisite	46.1%	53.9%	100	
English course without a corequisite	53.9%	46.1%	100	
Total (%)	100	100	200	
GPA and I-Term Throughput in English (r = 0.67)				
	Did not achieve I-term throughput	Achieved I-term throughput		
2.50 GPA or lower	83.7%	16.3%	100	
2.51 GPA or higher	16.3%	83.7%	100	
Total (%)	100	100	200	

Please note that race/ethnicity measures were not included in these tables. This is because when comparing to White students, there were no statistically significant differences in results among Asian, Black/African American, Filipino, Hispanic, Native American, Pacific Islander, Two or More Races, or Unreported race students after controlling for GPA, corequisite status, age, and gender. In other words, race/ethnicity did not predict 1-term or 1-year throughput.

## **Summary of Findings and Limitations**

- Math: A student is more likely to achieve 1-term and 1-year throughput without a corequisite and more likely with a higher GPA.
- English: A student is slightly more likely to achieve 1-term and 1-year throughput with a corequisite (although not statistically significant) and way more likely with a higher GPA.

The primary limitation of this research was the limited time available, which necessitated a focused scope. Future research should explore additional factors such as first-generation status, socioeconomic status, financial aid status, and a deeper analysis of just the students taking corequisites. This would allow for a better understanding of whether corequisites are truly effective.