

## **A. Reflections on Continuous Improvement Since Last Comprehensive Review**

Provide brief responses to the prompts below, referring to the Peer Review Team Report for the last comprehensive peer review. Suggested length for Section A is 3 pages.

- 1. Briefly describe major improvements or innovations since the time of the last comprehensive peer review, focusing on areas where your institution is excelling or showing significant improvement with regard to equitable student outcomes, educational quality, and/or mission fulfillment.**

Committees/Individuals to collect feedback from on question

- Chairs
- ESC
- Crafton Council
- EMPC
- IEAOC
- PDRC
- SEAC
- PPRC

- 2. Briefly describe actions taken in response to any recommendations for improving institutional effectiveness or feedback noted in the Peer Review Team Report for the last comprehensive peer review.**

**Recommendation from last team report:** In order to improve institutional effectiveness, the team recommends the College ensures that the Program Planning Review process is implemented consistently across all of the learning support and student support service areas.

Committees/Individuals to collect feedback from on question

- PPRC
- IEAOC
- Student Services (February 5, 10-11, compressed calendar and mid-term report)

- 3. How are the actions described above helping your institution deepen its practices for continuous improvement and transformation in relation to the 2024 Accreditation Standards?**

[Insert narrative response, citing 2024 Accreditation Standards as appropriate. Reflect on the team report and feedback]

Committees/Individuals to collect feedback from on question

- PPRC
- IEAOC



## **D. Reflections on Assessments of Student Learning**

Provide a brief response to each question below, referring to Standards 1.3, 2.1, 2.2, and 2.9 for additional context. You may insert graphs, charts, or other similar visuals as needed to support your narrative. Suggested length for Section C (not counting any visuals) is 3 pages.

Standard 1.3: The institution holds itself accountable for achieving its mission and goals and regularly reviews relevant, meaningfully disaggregated data to evaluate its progress and inform plans for continued improvement and innovation.

Standard 2.1: Academic programs at all locations and in all modes of delivery are offered in fields of study consistent with the institution's mission and reflect appropriate breadth, depth, and expected learning outcomes.

Standard 2.2: The institution, relying on faculty and other appropriate stakeholders, designs and delivers academic programs that reflect relevant discipline and industry standards and support equitable attainment of learning outcomes and achievement of educational goals.

Standard 2.9: The institution conducts systematic review and assessment to ensure the quality of its academic, learning support, and student services programs and implement improvements and innovations in support of equitable student achievement.

- 1. Review the results of learning outcomes assessment. Describe any patterns or trends related to attainment of learning outcomes observable in these data that may be relevant as you implement improvements and innovations in the design and delivery of academic programs?**
  
- 2. How (i.e., for what subpopulations, modalities, etc.) does your institution disaggregate its assessment results? When you review disaggregated assessment results, what patterns or trends do you see related to equitable attainment of student learning? What patterns or trends excite you? What patterns or trends concern you?**
  
- 3. What actions has your institution taken/is your institution taking in response to the patterns and trends discussed above? How will you monitor the results of these actions in order to inform future improvements and innovations in support of equitable student learning?**

## **F. Looking Ahead to the Next Self-Evaluation and Comprehensive Review**

**Provide a brief response to the question below. Suggested length for Section D is 2 pages.**

**Your institution will begin its next comprehensive self-evaluation in 1-2 years. What opportunities, changes, or other internal or external factors do you see on the horizon that are likely to affect the context of your self-evaluation and/or comprehensive peer review?**

[Insert narrative response.]

Committees/Individuals to collect feedback from on question

- Chairs
- ESC
- Crafton Council
- EMPC
- IEAOC
- PDRC
- SEAC
- PPRC